

Town Board Minutes

April 28, 2003

Meeting No. 14

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 28th day of April 2003, at 6:30 PM and there were

PRESENT:

MARK MONTOUR, COUNCIL MEMBER
RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER
DONNA STEMPIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER
ROBERT GIZA, SUPERVISOR
JOHN GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MICHAEL MYSZKA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
STANLEY KEYSA, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

ABSENT:

RICHARD ZARBO, COUNCIL MEMBER
REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
STEVEN SOCHA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT:

JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK
RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY
LEONARD CAMPISANO, ASSISTANT BUILDING INSPECTOR
ROBERT LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for three (3) actions.

**IN THE MATTER OF
CADBY INDUSTRIAL PARK SITE PLAN**

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR, WHO
MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO, TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Municipal Review Committee after review and consideration reconfirms the findings of the Negative Declaration which was adopted at the meeting of the Municipal Review Committee held April 16, 1996, there being no change in the build out of the industrial park as previously reviewed.

 The question of the reconfirmation of the previous Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

 The reconfirmation of the Notice of Determination was thereupon adopted.

April 28, 2003

**IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE
AT&T CELL TOWER CO-LOCATION**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the short Environmental Assessment Form on the AT&T cell tower co-location matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form, entitled "Part II Environmental Assessment", which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI
WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted.

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION:
AT&T CELL TOWER CO-LOCATION
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 0.005 ± acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is situate at 69 Cemetery Road, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found, in their item for item completion of the Short Environmental Assessment Form on this proposed action as follows:

- A. The action does not exceed any type 1 threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.4.
- B. The action will not receive coordinated review as provided for unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.6.
- C. The proposed action will not result in any adverse effects associated with the following: (except as noted)
 - C.1 Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems.

 No significant adverse effects noted

- C.2 Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.3 Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.5 Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.6 Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.7 Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy).

No significant adverse effects noted

- D. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- E. There is not, nor is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s _____
Robert H. Giza, Supervisor
Town of Lancaster

SEAL

April 28, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non_Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a voice vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon adopted.

April 28, 2003

**IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE
PROPOSED PURCHASE OF 3949 WALDEN AVENUE**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the short Environmental Assessment Form on the proposed purchase of Walden Avenue property with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form, entitled "Part II Environmental Assessment", which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK
WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted.

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION:
PROPOSED PURCHASE OF 3949 WALDEN AVENUE
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY
Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 7.8 ± acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is situate at 3949 Walden Avenue, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found, in their item for item completion of the Short Environmental Assessment Form on this proposed action as follows:

- A. The action does not exceed any type 1 threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.4.
- B. The action will not receive coordinated review as provided for unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.6.
- C. The proposed action will not result in any adverse effects associated with the following: (except as noted)
 - C.1 Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems.

_____ **No significant adverse effects noted**

- C.2 Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.3 Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources.

Small to moderate adverse effects noted due to a change in use

- C.5 Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.6 Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.7 Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy).

No significant adverse effects noted

- D. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- E. There is not, nor is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s _____
Robert H. Giza, Supervisor
Town of Lancaster

SEAL

April 28, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non_Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a voice vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon adopted.

April 28, 2003

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK AND SECONDED
BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE
MEETING, which resulted as follows:

SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:58 P.M.

Signed _____
Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk